

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,865	08/25/2000	Kent Iverson	CISCP656	5977
7590 02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
BARTON E. BAKER BOLT	SHOWALTER	HAN, CLEMENCE S		
2001 ROSS A	- -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 600		2665		
DALLAS, TX	75201-2980	DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		 						
	*	Application N	D.	Applicant(s)	ak -			
Office Action Summary		09/648,865		IVERSON ET AL.	U			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Clemence Ha		2665				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the proof of the	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a nis communication. t thirty (30) days, a reply wil imum statutory period will a for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	a). In no event, ho ithin the statutory i apply and will expi ause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 29 Nov	rember 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .								
3)☐ Since this application is in cor	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-15 and 18-22</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected	6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-15 and 18-22 is/are rejected.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a) accep ny objection to the dra cluding the correction	awing(s) be he n is required if	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 29, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1–3, 6, 11–15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach (US Patent 6,353,742) in view of Huang et al. (US 6,590,879).

Regarding to claim 1 and 11–13, Bach teaches an apparatus, comprising: a selection and distribution unit 115 that is included within a base station controller 105, the selection and distribution unit being operable to: (a) receive signal quality

Art Unit: 2665

information from a plurality of base transceiver stations 101–103 about a plurality of data streams that are associated with a plurality of mobile stations 113 which are capable of moving within a wireless network (Column 2 Line 30-31, Column 4 Line 20–24); (b) select one of the plurality of data streams associated with a selected one of the mobile stations to be forwarded to a next destination (Column 4 Line 49-52); and (c) direct reverse communication traffic associated with the selected mobile station to a selected one or more of the plurality of base transceiver stations (Column 2 Line 32-40). Bach, however, does not teach selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station and a predicted position associated with the selected mobile station. Huang teaches selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station (Column 8 Line 9-12). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bach to have selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station as taught by Huang in order to maintain communication

Art Unit: 2665

when the mobile station experiences changes in the communication conditions (Column 1 Line 29-36).

Regarding to claim 2 and 14, Bach teaches the data streams associated with the communication session from the selected mobile station, and the selection and distribution unit configured to select only one data stream to be forwarded (Column 6 Line 10–17).

Regarding to claim 3 and 15, Bach teaches the data streams associated with a plurality of call sessions from the mobile stations, and the selection and distribution unit configured to select only one data stream to be forwarded for each call session (Column 6 Line 41–53).

Regarding to claim 6 and 18, Bach teaches the data stream comprising packets using an internet protocol (Column 3 Line 43).

4. Claim 7–10 and 19–22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach in view of Huang et al. and further in view of Shaughnessy et al. (US Patent 6,141,347).

Regarding to claim 7 and 19, Bach teaches an apparatus, comprising: a selection and distribution unit 115 that is included within a base station controller 105, the selection and distribution unit being operable to: (a) receive signal quality information from a plurality of base transceiver stations 101–103 about a plurality

Art Unit: 2665

of data streams that are associated with a plurality of mobile stations 113 which are capable of moving within a wireless network (Column 2 Line 30-31, Column 4 Line 20–24); (b) select one of the plurality of data streams associated with a selected one of the mobile stations to be forwarded to a next destination (Column 4 Line 49–52); and (c) direct reverse communication traffic associated with the selected mobile station to a selected one or more of the plurality of base transceiver stations (Column 2 Line 32-40). Bach, however, does not teach selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station and a predicted position associated with the selected mobile station. Huang teaches selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station (Column 8 Line 9-12). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bach to have selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station as taught by Huang in order to maintain communication when the mobile station experiences changes in the communication conditions

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/648,865

Art Unit: 2665

(Column 1 Line 29-36). Bach in view of Huang, however, does not teach providing a multicast address to the next destination for transmitting a data stream. Shaughnessy teaches providing a multicast address to the next destination for transmitting a data stream (Column 7 Line 17–21). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bach in view of Huang to provide a multicast address to the next destination for transmitting a data stream as taught by Shaughnessy in order to provide one–to–many capability (Column 3 Line 50–53).

Regarding to claim 8 and 20, Shaughnessy teaches instructing a selected one of the base transceiver stations to receive the data stream at the multicast address (Column 7 Line 44–49).

Regarding to claim 9 and 21, Shaughnessy teaches the data stream comprising packets using an internet protocol (Column 3 Line 45–48).

Regarding to claim 10 and 22, Shaughnessy teaches establishing a multicast address for issuing commands to the plurality of base transceiver stations (Column 7 Line 5–9).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-3, 6-15 and 18-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2665

Conclusion

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clemence Han Examiner

Art Unit 2665

STEVĚN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER